

Fruit flies (Diptera, Tephritidae) and their associations with native host plants in a remnant area of the highly endangered Atlantic Rain Forest in the State of Espírito Santo, Brazil

K. Uramoto^{1*}, D.S. Martins² and R.A. Zucchi³

¹Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277, Cep 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil: ²INCAPER, Rua Afonso Sarlo, 160, Cep 29052-010, Vitória, ES, Brazil: ³Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo, Av Pádua Dias, 11, Cep 13418-900, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Abstract

The results presented in this paper refer to a host survey, lasting approximately three and a half years (February 2003–July 2006), undertaken in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, a remnant area of the highly endangered Atlantic Rain Forest located in Linhares County, State of Espírito Santo, Brazil. A total of 330 fruit samples were collected from native plants, representing 248 species and 51 plant families. Myrtaceae was the most diverse family with 54 sampled species. Twenty-eight plant species, from ten families, are hosts of ten *Anastrepha* species and of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann). Among 33 associations between host plants and fruit flies, 20 constitute new records, including the records of host plants for *A. fumipennis* Lima and *A. nascimentoi* Zucchi. The findings were discussed in the light of their implications for rain forest conservation efforts and the study of evolutionary relationships between fruit flies and their hosts.

Keywords: insecta, *Anastrepha*, *Ceratitis capitata*, host plants, natural reserve

(Accepted 23 November 2007)

Introduction

Anastrepha Schiner (Diptera, Tephritidae) is the most economically important genus of Tephritidae in the Americas. Simultaneously, *Anastrepha* species have revealed remarkable ecological and behavioral characteristics (Aluja, 1994). Currently, there are 213 valid species endemic to the New World, these being restricted to both tropical and subtropical environments. A high number of host plants have already been recorded for *Anastrepha* species (143

genera in 54 families) (Norrbom, 2004). Nevertheless, this number greatly decreases on excluding pest-species and exotic plants. There are few records of native host plants, since collections have been concentrated on commercial fruits, most of which are introduced (Norrbom & Kim, 1988). These authors highlighted the importance of extensive collections of native plants, since the unknown hosts of many *Anastrepha* species are native, thus, contributing towards a better understanding of their biology. Fruit flies have been extensively studied in the tropics as pests in agricultural areas, but have rarely been assayed in forests with native vegetation. Little attention has been attributed to the natural history and behavior of fruit flies in nature, therefore underestimating the complexity of fruit fly biology and ecology (Aluja, 1999). Much of the information required

*Author for correspondence
Fax: 55 11 3091 7552
E-mail: uramoto@usp.br

for a better understanding of fruit fly biology, ecology and evolution is obtainable from areas with undisturbed native vegetation. Thus, finding these few remaining areas has become a notable challenge (Aluja *et al.*, 2003). Consequently, studies on native host plants in forest reserves have recently been intensified, with the result that these host plant/fruit fly associations have assumed importance for comprehending host plant use patterns, as well as the ecological and evolutionary processes of these insects. Aluja *et al.* (2003) pointed out that the food habits of primitive species, such as *A. cordata*, revealed through studies conducted in a preserved area of a tropical forest in Veracruz, Mexico (Hernández-Ortiz & Pérez-Alonso, 1993) gave rise to hypotheses on the evolution of oviposition behavior in *Anastrepha* species (Aluja *et al.*, 1999; Díaz-Fleischer *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, associations of *Anastrepha* species with host plants and the interactions between species were defined in the tropical rainforest biosphere reserve of Montes Azules, Mexico. This information provided support for inferences on the ecological and evolutionary processes of these insects and on pest management in the tropics (Aluja *et al.*, 2003). In locations undergoing different stages of environmental conservation in Argentina, the status of native and exotic fruits as hosts of *A. fraterculus* and *Ceratitidis capitata* was verified. Thus, resource-use patterns, infestation and population distribution could be determined (Ovruski *et al.*, 2003; Ovruski *et al.*, 2005; Segura *et al.*, 2006) there. In northeastern Argentina, *A. fraterculus* was predominant on native plant species, whereas *C. capitata* was so on those introduced, demonstrating that it is well-adapted to these disturbed environments (Ovruski *et al.*, 2003). In a host plant survey undertaken in the 'cerrado' of the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, *C. capitata* denoted higher infestation in guava samples, collected in urban areas, than did *A. fraterculus* and *A. sororcula* (Uchôa-Fernandes *et al.*, 2002). In areas where the pest-insect is an invasive species, this has frequently occurred in association with exotic plants (Selivon, 2000).

Among tephritids, *C. capitata*, the Mediterranean fruit fly (medfly) is considered to be the most polyphagous species with the highest adaptation capacity, since it infests 374 plant species (Liquido *et al.*, 1998) of which 33% are exotic (Copeland *et al.*, 2002). In several regions of Kenya, fruits were sampled to study the relationship of *C. capitata* to native plant hosts in its original home range. Medflies were predominantly reared from fruits of indigenous plant species, of which approximately 80% were new host records (Copeland *et al.*, 2002). These authors also pointed out the need for studying the medfly's natural history within its original home-range.

In a tropical forest of Papua New Guinea, the associations between frugivorous Dacinae species and host plants, their abundance and the number infesting several plant species were defined (Novotny *et al.*, 2005).

Atlantic Rainforest fragments can be found in the State of Espírito Santo, Brazil. These, with a high rate of endemism and diversity, constitute a priority biome for biological conservation due to its highly endangered flora and fauna, which places it fourth in rank among 25 biodiversity hotspots world-wide (Myers *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, it has become highly interesting to undertake a study so as to check the associations between fruit fly species and native host plants and to more specifically observe whether there is a pattern-relationship with plant-species groups, further

comparing this with already published information as well as with behavior in relation to phytophagy in remnant Atlantic Rain Forest areas such as the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve.

Materials and methods

Study area: location and characterization

Fruit collections were accomplished in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve (VRDNR), a fragment of the Atlantic Rain Forest located between geographic coordinates 19°06'–19°18' south and 39°45'–40°19' west in the county of Linhares, Espírito Santo State. The vegetation in VRDNR corresponds to secondary Dense Ombrophilous Forest (Souza *et al.*, 2002) located on the surface of Tertiary mesas in the Barreira formation (lowland forest – *mata de tabuleiro*), which is characterized by a series of low elevation hills (28–65 m high) and flat-bottom valleys (Vicens *et al.*, 1998). The altitude of the fruit sampling area ranged from 48 to 60 m above sea level. According to Köppen's classification, the climate is Aw, humid tropical, with a mean annual rainfall of 1403 mm, a mean maximum temperature of 25.2°C, a mean minimum temperature of 19.1°C and a mean relative humidity of 84.3% (Souza *et al.*, 2002).

Collection of fruit

Fruits from native plants (recently fallen or picked from trees) were collected weekly, according to the fruiting season of each species, during the period from February 2003 to July 2006. Sampled fruits were washed and counted, and the total mass of the sample was defined. Fruits were then placed in plastic pots containing a layer of autoclaved and sifted sand, so as to provide a pupation substratum. Puparia were kept in cages to allow adults to emerge.

Identification of insects and plants

K. Uramoto and R.A. Zucchi identified the *Anastrepha* species based on females. Nevertheless, in samples where only one species occurred, or where male characteristics permitted specific identification, males were also identified. As the *fraterculus* complex is formed by several cryptic species (Hernández-Ortiz *et al.*, 2004; Selivon *et al.*, 2005), the name *A. fraterculus* is being used herein in its *sensu lato*. Voucher specimens were deposited in the collection of Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz (Entomologia), Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

Plants were identified by VRDNR botanists; and, in samples from which fruit flies were reared, those parts of the plant important for identification at the species level were dried and deposited in the VRDNR herbarium. Non-scientific plant names were referred to as vernacular names, since only names by which the plants were locally known were used (Frank, 2001).

Data analysis

Infestation indices were calculated in two different ways: (i) by dividing the total number of puparia obtained in a given sample by the number of fruits in the sample (puparia.fruit⁻¹); or (ii) by dividing the total number of

puparia by the total mass (g) of fruits in the sample (puparia.g⁻¹).

Results

From 330 samples, 11,479 individual fruits were collected (39,810 g), representing 248 species in 51 plant families (table 1). The number of sampled species varied in the diverse families. Approximately 40% of the families sampled were represented by one or two species. Myrtaceae was the most diversified, with 54 species sampled (fig. 1). Emergence of fruit flies was detected in only 33 samples (10% of the total collected). The sampling and rearing of endophytic larvae is very laborious, since such sampling includes indiscriminate collecting of both infested and non-infested plant parts, as herbivore infestation cannot be easily recognized (Novotny *et al.*, 2005).

In ten plant families, 28 species were established as being natural hosts of ten *Anastrepha* species (*A. antunesi* Lima (one species), *A. bahiensis* Lima (two species), *A. bondari* Lima (one species), *A. distincta* Greene (two species), *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann) (14 species), *A. fumipennis* Lima (one species), *A. nascimentoi* Zucchi (one species), *A. obliqua* (Macquart) (five species), *A. serpentina* (Wiedemann) (four species) and *A. zenildae* Zucchi (one species)) and of *Ceratitidis capitata* (Wiedemann) (one species) (tables 2 and 3). Twenty of the 33 associations were recorded for the first time (table 3). New associations with host plants were detected for the following fruit fly species: *A. antunesi*, *A. bahiensis*, *A. bondari*, *A. distincta*, *A. fumipennis*, *A. nascimentoi* and *A. zenildae* (one record each), *A. obliqua* (two records), *A. serpentina* (three records) and *A. fraterculus* (eight records) (table 3). In the 33 samples where fruit fly emergence occurred, the individual mass of the fruit was generally low. Fruit from 20 samples weighed, on average, less than 10 g (only one weighed

more than 100 g). Infestation indices were lower than 0.5 puparia.g⁻¹, except for one sample of *Eugenia platyphylla* (table 4).

Discussion

Records of new hosts were obtained from several studies conducted in areas containing preserved native vegetation (Hernández-Ortiz & Pérez-Alonso, 1993; Copeland *et al.*, 2002; Aluja *et al.*, 2003). In this study and among the new hosts, the host-plant records were acquired for *A. fumipennis* on *Geissospermum laeve* (Vell.) Ball. (Apocynaceae) and *A. nascimentoi* on *Cathedra bahiensis* Sleumer (Olacaceae). Although *A. nascimentoi* is not classified in any species group, it may belong in the *spatulata* group, which is mainly associated with Euphorbiaceae and Olacaceae (Norrbon *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, this finding has contributed to reinforcing the idea that host-plant associations of *Anastrepha* appear to be correlated with phylogenetic relationships within the genus (Norrbon *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, host information can be useful for studies on the biology and ecology of these insects. Nevertheless, the hosts of over 50% of the 213 *Anastrepha* species remain unknown.

The *fraterculus* species group, which is considered to be the most derived group (Norrbon *et al.*, 1999), was represented by six species (*A. antunesi*, *A. bahiensis*, *A. distincta*, *A. fraterculus*, *A. obliqua* and *A. zenildae*) all of which were associated with plant families belonging to the plant group Rosids, namely Leguminosae (Mimosoidea), Moraceae, Rhamnaceae, Melastomataceae, Myrtaceae and Anacardiaceae, except *A. fraterculus*, which also infested a species of Annonaceae, one of the primitive families of Angiosperm (Judd *et al.*, 2002).

A. fraterculus is the most polyphagous species of *Anastrepha*, infesting species of 37 plant families (Norrbon,

Table 1. Plant family and species collected in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Linhares, ES, February 2003–July 2006.

Families/Species	
Anacardiaceae	Bignoniaceae
<i>Astronium graveolens</i> Jacq.	<i>Tabebuia arianae</i> A.H. Gentry
<i>Spondias venulosa</i> Mart. ex Engl.	<i>Tabebuia heptaphylla</i> (Vell.) Toledo
<i>Spondias cf. macrocarpa</i> Engl.	<i>Tabebuia ochracea</i> (Cham.) Standl.
<i>Tapiriba guianensis</i> Aubl.	<i>Tabebuia roseo- alba</i> (Ridley) Sandwith
<i>Thyrsodium schomburgkianum</i> Benth.	
Annonaceae	Bombacaceae
<i>Annona cacans</i> Warm	<i>Bombacopsis stenopetala</i> (Casar.) A. Robyns
<i>Oxandra</i> sp.	<i>Eriotheca candolleana</i> (K. Schum.) A. Robyns
<i>Rollinia laurifolia</i> Schltldl.	<i>Pseudobombax grandiflorum</i> (Cav.) A. Robyns
<i>Unonopsis aff. stipitata</i> Diels	
<i>Xylopia laevigata</i> (Mart.) R.E. Fries	Boraginaceae
<i>Xylopia sericea</i> A. St. Hil.	<i>Cordia lomato-loba</i> I.M. Johnston
Apocynaceae	<i>Cordia selowiana</i> Cham.
<i>Aspidosperma cylindrocarpon</i> Mull. Arg.	<i>Cordia</i> spp. (3 species)
<i>Aspidosperma pyricollum</i> Mull. Arg.	
<i>Geissospermum laeve</i> (Vell.) Baill.	Burseraceae
<i>Himatanthus phagedaenica</i> (Mart.) Woodson	<i>Crepidospermum atlanticum</i> Daly
<i>Rauwolfia mattfeldiana</i> Markgraf.	<i>Protium aff. wearmingianum</i> March.
Areaceae	<i>Protium cf. glaziovii</i> Swart
<i>Aiphanes aculeata</i> Willd.	<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i> (Aubl.) Marchand
<i>Desmoncus orthacanthos</i> Mart.	<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i> (Aubl.) March.
<i>Syagrus schizophylla</i> (Mart.) Glassman	subsp. <i>heptaphyllum</i>
	<i>Trattinnickia mensalis</i> Dally

Table 1 Continued.

Families/Species	
Caricaceae	<i>Dialium guianense</i> (Aubl.) Sandwith
<i>Jacaratia heptaphylla</i> (Vell.)	<i>Dimorphandra</i> sp.
<i>Jacaratia spinosa</i> Aubl. A.D.C.	<i>Phyllocarpus riedelii</i> Tul.
Caryocaraceae	<i>Sclerolobium striatum</i> Dwyer
<i>Caryocar edule</i> Casar	<i>Senna macranthera</i> (Collad.) Irwin & Barneby
Cecropiaceae	Leg. Faboideae
<i>Cecropia pachystachya</i> Trécul.	<i>Bowdichia virgiloides</i> Kunth
Celastraceae	<i>Exostyles venusta</i> Schott ex Spreng.
<i>Maytenus cestrifolia</i> Reissek	<i>Lonchocarpus guillemineanus</i> Malme
Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Myrocarpus frondosus</i> Allemao
<i>Hirtella corymbosa</i> Cham. & Schtdl.	<i>Ormosia arborea</i> (Vell.) Harms
<i>Licania kunthiana</i> Hook. f.	<i>Poecilanthus falcata</i> (Vell.) Heringer
<i>Licania littoralis</i> Warm.	<i>Swartzia cf. acutifolia</i> Vog.
<i>Licania salzmanni</i> (Hook.) Fritsch.	<i>Swartzia apetala</i> Raddi
<i>Parinari parvifolia</i> Sandw.	<i>Swartzia apetala</i> var. <i>glabra</i> (Vogel) R.S. Cowan
Clusiaceae	<i>Swartzia linharensis</i> Mansano
<i>Clusia spiritu-sanctensis</i> G. Mariz & B. Weinberg	<i>Sweetia fruticosa</i> Spreng.
<i>Kielmeyera membranacea</i> Casar.	Leg. Mimosoideae
<i>Kielmeyera ochioniana</i> Saggi	<i>Acacia langsdorffii</i> Benth.
<i>Rheedia brasiliensis</i> (Mart.) Planch. & Triana	<i>Albizia polycephala</i> (H.B. & K.) Killip
<i>Rheedia gardneriana</i> Planch. & Triana	<i>Inga aff. cylindrica</i> (Vell.) Mart.
<i>Tovomita brevistaninea</i> Engl.	<i>Inga laurina</i> (Sw.) Willd.
<i>Vismia</i> sp.	<i>Inga striata</i> Benth.
Combretaceae	<i>Inga submuda</i> Salzm. ex Benth.
<i>Buchenavia rabelloana</i> Mattos	<i>Inga thibaudiana</i> DC.
Ebenaceae	<i>Parapiptadenia pterosperma</i> (Benth.) Brenan
<i>Diospyros weddellii</i> Hiern.	<i>Pseudopiptadenia contorta</i> (DC.) G.P. Lewis & M.P. Lima
Erythroxylaceae	Loganiaceae
<i>Erythroxylon pulchrum</i> A. St. Hil.	<i>Strychnos cf. hirsuta</i> Spruce ex Benth.
Euphorbiaceae	Malpighiaceae
<i>Glycydendron amazonicum</i> Ducke	<i>Byrsonima cacaophila</i> W.R. Anderson
<i>Margaritaria nobilis</i> Linn.	<i>Byrsonima seriacea</i> DC.
Flacourtiaceae	<i>Byrsonima stipulacea</i> A. Juss.
<i>Banara brasiliensis</i> (Schott) Benth.	Melastomataceae
<i>Carpotroche brasiliensis</i> Endl.	<i>Miconia cf. cinnamomifolia</i> (DC.) Naudin
<i>Casearia ulmifolia</i> Cambess.	<i>Miconia holosericea</i> (L.) Triana
<i>Casearia commersoniana</i> Cambess.	<i>Miconia hypoleuca</i> (Benth.) Triana
<i>Casearia oblongifolia</i> Cambess.	<i>Miconia splendens</i> (Sw.) Griseb.
<i>Casearia</i> spp. (3 species)	<i>Mouriri dorianae</i> Saldanha in Mart.
Hippocrateaceae	<i>Mouriri glazioviana</i> Cogn. in Mart.
<i>Cheiloclinium cognatum</i> (Miers) A.C. Smith	<i>Mouriria arborea</i> Gardner
Humiriaceae	Meliaceae
<i>Humiria balsamifera</i> var. <i>parvifolia</i> (A. Juss.) Cuatrec	<i>Guarea penningtoniana</i> M.E. Morales
<i>Vantanea bahiaensis</i> Cuatrec.	<i>Trichilia casaretti</i> C.DC.
Lauraceae	<i>Trichilia lepisota</i> (Harms) Mart.
<i>Beilschmiedia</i> sp.	<i>Trichilia aff. surumuensis</i> C.DC.
<i>Licaria bahiana</i> Kurz.	<i>Thichilia</i> sp.
<i>Ocotea cernua</i> (Nees) Mez	Menispermaceae
<i>Ocotea conferta</i> Coe Teixeira	<i>Abuta selloana</i> Eichler
<i>Ocotea notata</i> (Nees) Mez	Monimiaceae
<i>Ocotea spectabilis</i> (Meisn.) Mez	<i>Siparuna arianae</i> V. Pereira
<i>Rhodostemonodaphne capixabensis</i> Baitello & Coe-Teixeira	Moraceae
Lecythidaceae	<i>Brosimum glaucum</i> Tamb. Taub.
<i>Cariniana legalis</i> (Mart.) Kuntze	<i>Clarisia racemosa</i> Ruiz & Pav.
<i>Couroupita guianensis</i> Aubl.	<i>Ficus crocata</i> Mart. ex Miq.
Leg. Caesalpinioideae	<i>Ficus enormis</i> Mart. ex Miq.
<i>Amburana cearensis</i> (Fr. All.) A.C. Smith	<i>Ficus gomelleira</i> Klunth & Bouche
<i>Apuleia leiocarpa</i> Macbr.	<i>Ficus mariae</i> C.C. Berg, Emygdio & Carauta
<i>Cassia ferruginea</i> (Schrad.) ex DC.	<i>Ficus nymphaeifolia</i> Mill.
<i>Chamaecrista aspleniifolia</i> H.S. Irwin & Barneby	<i>Ficus pertusa</i> Bory ex Miq.
<i>Copaifera</i> sp.	<i>Helicostylis tomentosa</i> Rusby
	<i>Naucleopsis oblongifolia</i> (Kuhlm.) Carauta
	<i>Sorocea guilleminiiana</i> Gaudich.

Table 1 Continued.

Families/Species

Myristicaceae*Virola gardneri* (A.DC.) Warb.**Myrtaceae***Calyptanthus* spp. (2 species)*Campomanesia espiritosantensis* Landrum*Campomanesia lineatifolia* Ruiz et Pav.*Eugenia adstringens* Cambess*Eugenia bahiensis* DC.*Eugenia beaurepaireana* (Kiaersk.) C.D. Legrand*Eugenia bimarginata* DC.*Eugenia brasiliensis* Lam.*Eugenia excelsa* O. Berg*Eugenia fluminensis* O. Berg*Eugenia gemminiflora* O. Berg*Eugenia involucreta* DC.*Eugenia ligustrina* (Sw.) Willd.*Eugenia* cf. *Olivacea* O. Berg*Eugenia* aff. *oxyphylla* O. Berg*Eugenia piauiensis* O. Berg*Eugenia platyphylla* O. Berg*Eugenia platysema* O. Berg*Eugenia stictosepala* Kiaersk.*Eugenia stipitata* Mc Vaugh*Eugenia sulcata* Spring. ex Mart.*Eugenia* cf. *tinguyensis* Cambess.*Eugenia vernicosa* O. Berg*Eugenia* spp. (9 species)*Gomidesia martiana* O. Berg*Lecythis lanceolata* Poir.*Marlierea acuminatissima* (Berg) Legrand.*Marlierea* aff. *clauseniana* Kiaersk.*Marlierea obscura* O. Berg*Marlierea silvatica* (Gardner) Kiaersk.*Marlierea* sp.*Myrcia fallax* DC.*Myrcia follii* Barroso et. Peixoto*Myrcia* aff. *guyanensis* DC.*Myrcia nigropunctata* (Berg) N.J.E. Silveira*Myrcia* sp.*Myrciaria floribunda* O. Berg*Myrciaria jaboticaba* (Vell.) O. Berg*Myrciaria* sp.*Plinia renatiana* Barroso et Peixoto*Plinia strigipes* (Berg) Sobral O. Berg*Psidium guineense* Sw.*Psidium* aff. *macrospermum* O. Berg*Psidium myrtoides* O. Berg*Psidium* sp.**Nyctaginaceae***Guapira* cf. *subferruginosa* (Mart. ex Schum.) Lundell**Olacaceae***Cathedra bahiensis* Sleumer*Liriosma* sp.*Schoepfia obliquifolia* Turcz.**Passifloraceae***Passiflora riparia* Mart.**Polygonaceae***Coccoloba warmingii* Meisn.*Ruprechtia laurifolia* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Mey.**Rhamnaceae***Rhammidium glabrum* Reissek*Ziziphus glaziovii* Warm.*Ziziphus platyphylla* Reissek**Rubiaceae***Anthocephalus cadamba* Miq.*Coussarea contracta* (Walp.) Benth. & Hook.*Duroia* sp.*Melanopsidium nigrum* Colla*Posoqueria latifolia* (Rudge) Roem. & Schult.*Psychotria carthagenensis* Jacq.*Randia armata* D.C.*Simira eliezeriana* Peixoto*Simira glaziovii* (K. Shum.) Steyermark**Rutaceae***Metrodorea maracasana* Kaastra*Rauia* sp.*Zanthoxylum* cf. *juniperinum* Poeppig**Sapindaceae***Allophylus petiolulatus* Radlk.*Cupania* cf. *scrobiculata* L.C. Rich.*Cupania emarginata* Cambess.*Dilodendron elegans* (Radlk.) Gentry & Steyermark.*Matayba discolor* Radlk.*Matayba guianensis* Aubl.*Talisia intermedia* Radlk.**Sapotaceae***Chrysophyllum cainito* L.*Chrysophyllum lucentifolium* Cronquistsubsp. *lucentifolium**Lucuma butyrocarpa* Kuhlmann*Manilkara bella* Monach.*Manilkara salzmannii* (A.DC.) H.J. Lam*Manilkara subsericea* (Mart.) Dubard.*Micropholis gardneriana* (A.DC.) Pierre*Mimusops* sp.*Pouteria bangii* (Rusby) T.D. Pennington*Pouteria coelomatica* Rizzini*Pouteria cuspidata* (A.DC.) Baehni*Pouteria macrophylla* (Lam.) Eyma*Pouteria psammophila* (Mart.) Radlk.*Pouteria* sp.**Simaroubaceae***Simaba subcymosa* A. St. Hil. & Tul.**Sterculiaceae***Pterygota brasiliensis* Fr. All.*Sterculia elata* Ducke*Sterculia speciosa* K. Schum.**Styracaceae***Styrax glabratum* Schott**Theophrastaceae***Clavija caloneura* Mart. & Miq.**Thymelaeaceae***Daphnopsis* sp.**Verbenaceae***Vitex* cf. *montevidensis* Cham.**Vochysiaceae***Qualea magna* Kuhlm.*Qualea megalocarpa* Stafleu*Vochysia angelica* M.C. Vianna & Fontella*Erisma arietinum* Kawasaki




Fig. 1. Number of species of each plant family sampled in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Linhares, ES, February 2003–July 2006.

2004). In this study, it was noted that fruits of *Mouriri glazioviana* Cogn. (Melastomataceae) were infested by *A. fraterculus*. Melastomataceae species had never before been recorded as *A. fraterculus* hosts, thus, revealing that the host range for this species, even as regards host family, could possibly expand as further host plant surveys proceed, especially in forest areas. As *Anastrepha fraterculus* is being considered herein in its *sensu lato*, this finding could be one more indication in clarifying the species of this complex. In Angiosperm phylogeny, Melastomataceae is a close family to Myrtaceae (Judd *et al.*, 2002), whereby it is possible that the fruit of both plant families possess similar characteristics, which can attract this fruit fly species. Fourteen species

of three plant families (Annonaceae, Melastomataceae and Myrtaceae) were observed to be attacked by *A. fraterculus*, including 12 Myrtaceae species of which six belong to the genus *Eugenia*. Therefore, *A. fraterculus* infested the highest diversity of plants, confirming its polyphagous nature (table 2). Nevertheless, care is needed when referring to *A. fraterculus* in this context, as it is formed by a complex of cryptic species, some of which may be monophagous or oligophagous. According to fruiting phenology when infested by the *A. fraterculus* complex, it may be inferred that host succession occurred since this fly infested fruits from different plant species throughout the year (table 2).

Table 2. Fruit fly species obtained from native fruit and their collecting periods in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Linhares, ES, February 2003–July 2006.

Fruit flies species	Host plants		
	Families	Species	Sampling periods
<i>Anastrepha antunesi</i> Lima	Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias cf. macrocarpa</i> Engl.	Aug '03
<i>Anastrepha bahiensis</i> Lima	Moraceae	<i>Helicostylis tomentosa</i> (Poep. et Endl.) Rusby	Jan '04, Feb '05
	Myrtaceae	<i>Eugenia platyphylla</i> O. Berg	Dec '03
<i>Anastrepha bondari</i> Lima	Moraceae	<i>Naucleopsis oblongifolia</i> (Kuhl.) Carauta	Feb '04, Feb '05
<i>Anastrepha distincta</i> Greene	Leg. Mimosoideae	<i>Inga aff. cylindrica</i> (Vell.) Mart.	Nov '03
		<i>Inga laurina</i> (Sw.) Willd.	Jun '06
<i>Anastrepha fraterculus</i> (Wied.)	Annonaceae	<i>Rollinia laurifolia</i> Schltldl.	Dec '05, Jan '06, Mar '05
	Melastomataceae	<i>Mouriri glazioviana</i> Cogn.	May '05
	Myrtaceae	<i>Eugenia gemminiflora</i> Berg	Jan '04
		<i>Campomanesia espiritosantensis</i> Landrum	Feb '04
		<i>Campomanesia lineatifolia</i> Ruiz et Pav.	Feb '05
		<i>Eugenia involucrata</i> DC.	Mar '04
		<i>Psidium guineense</i> Sw.	Mar '04, Nov '05
		<i>Psidium myrtoideum</i> O. Berg	Apr '05
		<i>Eugenia stipitata</i> Mc Vaugh	Apr '04
		<i>Eugenia platysema</i> Berg	May '04, Jul '04
		<i>Myrcia aff. clauseniana</i> (Berg) Barroso et Peixoto	Jun '04
		<i>Plinia strigipes</i> O. Berg	Nov '03, Jun '04, Oct '05
		<i>Eugenia brasiliensis</i> Lam.	Nov '03
		<i>Eugenia platyphylla</i> O. Berg	Dec '03
<i>Anastrepha fumipennis</i> Lima	Apocynaceae	<i>Geissospermum laeve</i> (Vell.) Baill.	Mar '03, Feb '04, Feb '06
<i>Anastrepha nascimentoi</i> Zucchi	Olacaceae	<i>Cathedra bahiensis</i> Sleumer	Jan '04
<i>Anastrepha obliqua</i> (Macquart)	Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias venulosa</i> Mart. ex Engl.	Mar '03, Apr '04
		<i>Thyrsodium schomburgkianum</i> Benth.	Nov '03
	Myrtaceae	<i>Eugenia brasiliensis</i> Lam.	Nov '03
		<i>Eugenia platyphylla</i> O. Berg	Dec '03
		<i>Psidium guineense</i> Sw.	Mar '04, Nov '05
<i>Anastrepha serpentina</i> (Wied.)	Moraceae	<i>Ficus gomelleira</i> Klunth & Bouche	Apr '03, Mar '04, Dec '05
	Sapotaceae	<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i> L.	Oct '05
		<i>Manilkara bella</i> Monach.	Feb '03, Oct '04, Mar '06
		<i>Pouteria psammophila</i> (Mart.) Radlk.	Mar '04
<i>Anastrepha zenildae</i> Zucchi	Rhamnaceae	<i>Ziziphus platyphylla</i> Reissek	May '06
<i>Ceratitis capitata</i> (Wied.)	Myrtaceae	<i>Psidium guineense</i> Sw.	Mar '04, Nov '05

Five associations were defined between *A. obliqua* and plant species, two from the Anacardiaceae species and three from the Myrtaceae. This indicates a more oligophagous than poliphagous behavior, as has already been observed in disturbed environments.

A. bahiensis infested fruit of both the Moraceae and the Myrtaceae. Although these two plant families have already been registered as host, in the present study a new association with *Eugenia platyphylla* O. Berg (Myrtaceae) was detected.

A. distincta was associated with two *Inga* species (Leguminosae – Mimosoidea), this plant family probably being its primary host.

A new host record was obtained for *A. antunesi* on *Spondias cf. macrocarpa* Engl. (Anacardiaceae).

There are records of Rhamnaceae species being infested by only *A. fraterculus* and *A. zenildae* (see Norrbom, 2004), suggesting specificity of Rhamnaceae species with *A. zenildae* and possibly being its primitive host. Probably the record of *A. fraterculus* on Rhamnaceae species is a misidentification, seeing as the two species of flies are morphologically close to one another.

Three associations were determined between *A. serpentina* and Sapotaceae species (*Ziziphus platyphylla* Reissek, *Chrysophyllum cainito* L. and *Manilkara bella* Monach.) in addition to

one Moraceae species (*Ficus gomelleira* Klunth & Bouche), constituting the first record with this plant family. Both plant families are latex-bearing, thus it appears that *A. serpentina* mainly associates with latex-bearing plant species.

A. bondari was obtained from the fruits of *Naucleopsis oblongifolia* (Kuhl.) Carauta (Moraceae). Until now, only one species of *Helicostylis* has been cited as a host for *A. bondari* among the Moraceae genera. Therefore, *Naucleopsis* represents the second genus in this family with a species thus attacked.

C. capitata was only associated with *Psidium guineense* Sw. (Myrtaceae). Possibly this fly infests fruits of plants grown in commercial orchards located near the reserve, only using native fruits by accident. In a trap survey conducted in VRDNR (Uramoto, unpublished data), no *C. capitata* specimen was captured during a period of five years. Furthermore, in a study conducted in northeastern Argentina, *C. capitata* was predominant in introduced fruits, the population of adults being more abundant in disturbed environments, such as urban or rural zones and commercial orchards (Ovruski *et al.*, 2003). In Brazil, it has been encountered in urban zones on *Terminalia catappa* L. (Malavasi *et al.*, 2000).

Simultaneous infestations of the same host in VRDNR were observed in only three samples among 33; *Eugenia*

Table 3. Total number and sex ratio of fruit fly specimens obtained from native fruit in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Linhares, ES, February 2003–July 2006.

Families	Host plants		Fruit fly species sex ratio (♀/♂)
	Species	Vernacular names	
Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias venulosa</i> <i>Spondias cf. macrocarpa</i>	Cajá Cajá-mirim	<i>A. obliqua</i> (32/26) <i>A. antunesi</i> ^a (34/29)
Annonaceae	<i>Thyrsodium schomburgkianum</i>	Acarana	<i>A. obliqua</i> ^a (2/0)
Apocynaceae	<i>Rollinia laurifolia</i>	Pinha-da-mata	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (1/0)
Leg. Mimosoideae	<i>Geissospermum laeve</i>	Pau-pereira	<i>A. fumipennis</i> ^a (26/27)
	<i>Inga aff. cylindrica</i>	Ingá-ferro	<i>A. distincta</i> ^a (3/1)
	<i>Inga laurina</i>	Ingá-chato	<i>A. distincta</i> (5/0)
Melastomataceae	<i>Mouriri glazioviana</i>	Cabelo-de-negro	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (5/1)
Moraceae	<i>Ficus gomelleira</i>	Mata-pau	<i>A. serpentina</i> ^a (10/7)
	<i>Helicostylis tomentosa</i>	Jaquinha	<i>A. bahiensis</i> (2/4)
	<i>Naucleopsis oblongifolia</i>	Bainha-de-espada	<i>A. bondari</i> ^a (17/12)
Myrtaceae	<i>Campomanesia espiritosantensis</i>	Araçá-miúdo	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (2/4)
	<i>Campomanesia lineatifolia</i>	Gabirola-gengibre	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (3/10)
	<i>Eugenia brasiliensis</i>	Pepeu	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (8/10)
			<i>A. obliqua</i> (4/0)
	<i>Eugenia gemminiflora</i>	Henrique	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (1/3)
	<i>Eugenia involucrata</i>	Araçá	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (7/8)
	<i>Eugenia platyphylla</i>	Batinga-casca-grossa	<i>A. bahiensis</i> ^a (5/–)
			<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (14/–)
			<i>A. obliqua</i> ^a (1/–)
			<i>Anastrepha</i> sp. (20 ♂)
	<i>Eugenia platysema</i>	Pitanga-da-mata	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (1/1)
	<i>Eugenia stipitata</i>	Araçá-boi	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (3/3)
	<i>Myrcia aff. clauseniana</i>	Coração-alado	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (2/0)
	<i>Plinia strigipes</i>	Cambucá	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (1/0)
	<i>Psidium guineense</i>	Araçá-da-praia	<i>A. fraterculus</i> (2/2)
			<i>A. obliqua</i> (10/2)
			<i>C. capitata</i> (1/1)
Olacaceae	<i>Psidium myrtoides</i>	Araçá-de-pedro	<i>A. fraterculus</i> ^a (3/0)
Rhamnaceae	<i>Cathedra bahiensis</i>	Baleira	<i>A. nascimentoi</i> ^a (2/3)
Sapotaceae	<i>Ziziphus platyphylla</i>	Juazeiro	<i>A. zenildae</i> ^a (4/2)
	<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i>	Abiu-roxo	<i>A. serpentina</i> (99/62)
	<i>Manilkara bella</i>	Parajú	<i>A. serpentina</i> ^a (4/8)
	<i>Pouteria psammophila</i>	Leiteiro-branco	<i>A. serpentina</i> ^a (3/2)

a, new associations with host plants; –, unidentified.

platyphylla was simultaneously infested by *A. bahiensis*, *A. fraterculus*, and *A. obliqua*, *Psidium guineense* by *A. fraterculus*, *A. obliqua* and *C. capitata*, and *Eugenia brasiliensis* by *A. fraterculus* and *A. obliqua*. Nevertheless, monophagous and oligophagous species were predominant in the reserve. In preserved tropical forests, this tendency has been noted to be common, as observed in studies undertaken in forest remnants in Mexico (Hernández-Ortiz & Pérez-Alonso, 1993; Aluja *et al.*, 2003).

The individual mass of most infested fruit was low, confirming that the association between fruit flies and their native hosts is extremely important, and even those apparently insignificant in size must be evaluated (Aluja, 1999).

The results obtained in this study, such as new associations between host plants and fruit flies, as well as the discovery of host plants for *A. fumipennis* Lima and *A. nascimentoi* Zucchi, prove how important it is to undertake research in areas with preserved native vegetation. Thus, it is highly desirable to continue the fruit plant survey in this reserve, as well as in other fragments of the Atlantic Rain Forest, since the present area represents only 7.5% of its original extent (Myers *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, the

preservation of these areas is of inestimable value, mainly for the conservation of specialist fruit fly species and their host plants. Furthermore, their detection may represent an indicator of environmental quality. Terrestrial invertebrate richness and abundance can provide information contributing to biodiversity conservation and management and conservation planning programs for forestry reserves (Pyle *et al.*, 1981).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr Renato Moraes de Jesus, manager of the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, for permitting the collection of material for study and to Dr Marcos Sobral (Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais) and Dr Lucia Rossi (Instituto de Botânica, Secretaria do Meio Ambiente de São Paulo) for the identification of *Psidium myrtoides* O. Berg and *Cathedra bahiensis* Sleumer, respectively. The authors would also like to thank Dr Martin Aluja and Dr Allen L. Norrbom for reviewing the submitted version.

Table 4. Infestation indices in fruit species collected in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Linhares, ES, February 2003–July 2006.

Plant species	Fruits			Total number of puparia N	Infestation indices	
	Sample mass	Individual mass	Total number in sample		puparia fruit ⁻¹	puparia g ⁻¹
	Total (g)	Mean (g)	N			
<i>Spondias venulosa</i>	616.00	34.20	18.00	106.00	5.89	0.17
	184.35	30.73	6.00	32.00	5.33	0.17
<i>Spondias cf. macrocarpa</i>	176.00	8.00	22.00	82.00	3.73	0.47
<i>Thyrsodium schomburgkianum</i>	132.76	3.49	38.00	6.00	0.16	0.05
<i>Rollinia laurifolia</i>	117.36	13.04	9.00	1.00	0.11	0.01
<i>Geissospermum laeve</i>	165.36	41.34	4.00	53.00	13.25	0.32
<i>Inga aff. cylindrica</i>	108.06	9.00	12.00	4.00	0.33	0.04
<i>Inga laurina</i>	150.32	16.70	9.00	5.00	0.56	0.03
<i>Mouriri glazioviana</i>	66.82	5.14	13.00	6.00	0.46	0.09
<i>Ficus gomelleira</i>	209.52	7.48	28.00	19.00	0.68	0.09
<i>Helicostylis tomentosa</i>	61.69	1.21	51.00	8.00	0.16	0.13
<i>Naucleopsis oblongifolia</i>	149.88	37.47	4.00	29.00	7.25	0.19
<i>Campomanesia espiritosantensis</i>	34.1	3.79	9.00	6.00	0.67	0.18
<i>Campomanesia lineatifolia</i>	46.75	5.84	8.00	13.00	1.63	0.28
<i>Eugenia brasiliensis</i>	104.08	2.54	41.00	20.00	0.49	0.19
	88.36	2.45	36.00	10.00	0.28	0.11
<i>Eugenia gemminiflora</i>	368.00	40.89	9.00	16.00	1.78	0.04
<i>Eugenia involucrata</i>	179.4	6.19	29.00	27.00	0.93	0.15
<i>Eugenia platyphylla</i>	40.16	2.36	17.00	6.00	0.35	0.15
	66.82	2.09	32.00	56.00	1.75	0.84
	57.18	2.60	22.00	21.00	0.95	0.37
<i>Eugenia platysema</i>	60.29	6.70	9.00	2.00	0.22	0.03
<i>Eugenia stipitata</i>	55.5	55.50	1.00	6.00	6.00	0.11
<i>Myrcia aff. clauseniana</i>	14.27	0.75	19.00	2.00	0.11	0.14
<i>Plinia strigipes</i>	26.76	2.06	13.00	1.00	0.08	0.04
<i>Psidium guineense</i>	101.23	14.46	7.00	18.00	2.57	0.18
<i>Psidium myrtilloides</i>	18.9	1.45	13.00	3.00	0.23	0.16
<i>Cathedra bahiensis</i>	80.39	13.40	6.00	5.00	0.83	0.06
<i>Ziziphus platyphylla</i>	78.00	5.20	15.00	6.00	0.67	0.08
<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i>	482.24	160.75	3.00	213.00	71.00	0.44
<i>Mamillaria bella</i>	549.17	32.30	17.00	8.00	0.47	0.01
	302.25	21.59	14.00	4.00	0.29	0.01
<i>Pouteria psammophila</i>	138.08	9.86	14.00	38.00	2.71	0.28

References

- Aluja, M. (1994) Bionomics and management of *Anastrepha*. *Annual Review of Entomology* **39**, 155–178.
- Aluja, M. (1999) Fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) research in Latin America: myths, realities and dreams. *Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil* **28**, 565–594.
- Aluja, M., Piñero, I., Jacome, F., Díaz-Fleischer, F. & Sivinski, J. (1999) Behavior of flies in the genus *Anastrepha* (Trypetinae: Toxotrypanini). pp. 375–406 in Aluja, M. & Norrbom, A.L. (Eds) *Fruit Flies (Tephritidae): Phylogeny and Evolution of Behavior*. Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press.
- Aluja, M., Rull, J., Sivinski, J., Norrbom, A.L., Wharton, R.A., Macías-Ordóñez, R., Díaz-Fleischer, F. & López, M. (2003) Fruit flies of the genus *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) and associated native parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in the tropical rain forest biosphere reserve of Montes Azules, Chiapas, Mexico. *Environmental Entomology* **32**, 1377–1385.
- Copeland, R.S., Wharton, R.A., Luke, Q. & De Meyer, M. (2002) Indigenous Hosts of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Kenya. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **95**, 672–694.
- Díaz-Fleischer, F., Papaj, D., Prokopy, R.J., Norrbom, A.L. & Aluja, M. (1999) Evolution of fruit fly oviposition behavior. pp. 811–841 in Aluja, M. & Norrbom, A.L. (Eds) *Fruit Flies (Tephritidae): Phylogeny and Evolution of Behavior*. Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press.
- Frank, J.H. (2001) Book review of: Gordh, G. & Headrick, D.A. *Dictionary of Entomology*. Wallingford, CABI Publishing. *Florida Entomologist* **84**, 742–743.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V. & Pérez-Alonso, R. (1993) The natural host plants of *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in a tropical rain forest of Mexico. *Florida Entomologist* **76**, 447–460.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V., Gómez-Anaya, J.A., Sánchez, A., McPheron, B.A. & Aluja, M. (2004) Morphometric analysis of Mexican and South American populations of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex (Diptera: Tephritidae) and recognition of a distinct Mexican morphotype. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **94**, 487–499.
- Judd, W.S., Campbell, C.S., Kellog, E.A., Stevens, P.F. & Donoghue, M.J. (2002) *Plant Systematics: A Phylogenetic Approach*. 576 pp. 2nd edn. Sunderland, MA, USA, Sinauer Associates.
- Liquido, N.J., Barr, P.G. & Cunningham, R.T. (1998) MEDHOST, an encyclopedic bibliography of the host

- plants of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) in Thompson, F.C. (Ed.) *Fruit Fly Expert Identification System and Systematic Information Database*. Diptera Data Dissemination Disk 1 (CD-ROM).
- Malavasi, A., Zucchi, R.A. & Sugayama, R.L.** (2000) Biogeografia. pp. 93–98 in Malavasi, A. & Zucchi, R.A. (Eds). *Moscas-das-frutas de Importância Econômica no Brasil: Conhecimento Básico e Aplicado*. Ribeirão Preto, Brazil, Holos Editora.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B. & Kent, J.** (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**, 853–858.
- Norrbom, A.L.** (2004) Host plant database for *Anastrepha* and *Toxotrypana* (Diptera: Tephritidae: Toxotrypanini). in Norrbom, A.L. & Thompson, F.C. (Ed.) Diptera Data Dissemination Disk (CD-Rom) 2.
- Norrbom, A.L. & Kim, K.C.** (1988) *A List of the Recorded Host Plants of the Species of Anastrepha (Diptera: Tephritidae)*. 114 pp. Washington, DC, USDA APHIS.
- Norrbom, A.L., Zucchi, R.A. & Hernández-Ortiz, V.** (1999) Phylogeny of the genera *Anastrepha* and *Toxotrypana* (Trypetinae: Toxotrypanini) based on morphology. pp. 299–342 in Aluja, M. & Norrbom, A.L. (Eds) *Fruit Flies (Tephritidae): Phylogeny and Evolution of Behavior*. Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press.
- Novotny, V., Clarke, A.R., Drew, R.A.I., Balagawi, S. & Clifford, B.** (2005) Host specialization and species richness of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in a New Guinea rain forest. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **21**, 67–77.
- Ovruski, S., Schliserman, P. & Aluja, M.** (2003) Native and introduced host plants of *Anastrepha fraterculus* and *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Northwestern Argentina. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **96**, 1108–1118.
- Ovruski, S.M., Wharton, R.A., Schliserman, P. & Aluja, M.** (2005) Abundance of *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its associated native parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in “feral” guavas growing in the endangered Northernmost Yungas forests of Argentina with an update on the taxonomics of Opiinae parasitoids reported in this country. *Environmental Entomology* **34**, 807–818.
- Pyle, R., Bentzien, M. & Opler, P.** (1981) Insect conservation. *Annual Review of Entomology* **26**, 233–258.
- Segura, D.F., Vera, M.T., Cagnotti, C.L., Vaccaro, N., De Coll, O., Ovruski, S.M. & Cladera, J.L.** (2006) Relative abundance of *Ceratitis capitata* and *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera:Tephritidae) in diverse host species and localities of Argentina. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **99**, 70–83.
- Selivon, D.** (2000) Relações com as plantas hospedeiras. pp. 87–91 in Malavasi, A. & Zucchi, R.A. (Eds) *Moscas-das-frutas de Importância Econômica no Brasil: Conhecimento Básico e Aplicado*. Ribeirão Preto, Brazil, Holos Editora.
- Selivon, D., Perondini, A.L.P. & Morgante, J.S.** (2005) A genetic-morphological characterization of two cryptic species of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **98**, 367–381.
- Souza, A.L.S., Schettino, S., Jesus, R.M. & do Vale, A.B.** (2002) Dinâmica da composição florística de uma floresta ombrófila densa secundária, após corte de cipós, Reserva Natural da Companhia Vale do Rio Doce S.A., Estado do Espírito Santo, Brasil. *Revista Árvore* **26**, 549–558.
- Uchôa-Fernandes, M.A., Oliveira, I., Molina, R.M.S. & Zucchi, R.A.** (2002) Species diversity of frugivorous flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) from hosts in the cerrado of the State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* **31**, 515–524.
- Vicens, R.S., Cruz, C.B.M. & Rizzini, C.M.** (1998) Utilização de técnicas de sensoriamento remoto na análise da cobertura vegetal da Reserva Florestal de Linhares, ES, Brasil. pp. 1561–1572 in *Proceedings of the ninth Simpósio Brasileiro de Sensoriamento Remoto organized by Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Santos*. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, 11–18 September 1998, São José dos Campos, Brazil.