

Figure 1: Physalis angulata (A) asymptomatics and (B) exhibiting typical begomovirus symptoms (apical chlorosis).

245x143mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1 2

Identification of *Physalis angulata* (Solanaceae) as a Natural Alternative Weed Host of Tomato Severe Rugose Virus in Brazil

3

4

M. F. Duarte, Plant Pathology Department, University of Brasilia, Brasilia–DF, Brazil;

5 M. E. N. Fonseca and L. S. Boiteux, Embrapa Vegetable Crops (CNPH), Brasilia–DF,

6 Brazil; H. Costa, INCAPER, Venda Nova do Imigrante–ES, Brazil; B. M. Ribeiro, Cell

7 Biology Department, University of Brasilia, Brasilia–DF; F. L. Melo and R. C. Pereira-

8 **Carvalho**, Plant Pathology Department, University of Brasilia, Brasilia–DF, Brazil.

9

A diverse array of *Begomovirus* species (family *Geminiviridae*) can induce economically 10 important diseases in all major tomato-producing areas in Brazil (Fernandes et al., 2008). 11 Weeds of the Solanaceae family have been identified as important alternative hosts of the 12 13 viral species reported infecting tomato crops in the country (Barreto et al., 2013). In 2016, about 10% of the plants of the weed Physalis angulata L. were observed with 14 15 begomovirus-like symptoms (apical chlorosis and stunting; Supplementary Fig. 1) around and within tomato fields in Venda Nova do Imigrante-ES (Southeast Brazil). Leaf tissue 16 17 was collected from ten symptomatic and five healthy-looking plants, respectively. Total DNA was extracted using the CTAB method in combination with organic solvents 18 (Boiteux et al., 1999). PCR assays with the universal begomovirus primers for DNA-A 19 and DNA-B detection (Rojas et al., 1993) were carried out using total genomic DNA 20 samples (20 ng/ μ L) as templates. Amplicons of \approx 1,100 bp and \approx 550 bp were obtained 21 only in the ten symptomatic samples, which are in agreement with the expected fragment 22 sizes of DNA-A and DNA-B from begomoviruses (Rojas et al. 1993). These amplicons 23 were directly Sanger sequenced at the Embrapa Vegetables Genomic Analysis 24 Laboratory. BLASTn analyses of the amplicon sequences indicated high nucleotide 25 identities (99%, 98%) with DNA-A and DNA-B of tomato severe rugose virus (ToSRV) 26 isolates (accession numbers JX415196, MG837739) from Brazil, suggesting the infection 27 28 of ToSRV in these plants. To fully prove the infection and to characterize the ToSRV isolate associated with P. angulata, the DNA samples were submitted to rolling circle 29 amplification (RCA) method followed by Nanopore sequencing (Oxford Nanopore 30 Technologies), as previously described (Naito et al., 2019). The full-length DNA-A 31 component of one isolate obtained from P. angulata (MN059848) was 98% identical to 32 the DNA-A genome of a Brazilian ToSRV isolate from tomato (JX415196). The 33 34 complete DNA-B sequence from the P. angulata isolate (MN059849) was 96% identical

to the DNA-B genome of a tomato-infecting ToSRV isolate obtained in Southeast Brazil 35 36 (MG837739). The complete DNA-A component (2,592 nts) displayed all genomic features of the New World species, with one virion-sense ORF (AV1) and four 37 complementary sense ORFs (AC1, AC2, AC3, and AC4). The complete DNA-B 38 component (2,571 nts) displayed two ORFs, virion-sense (BV1) and complementary 39 sense (BC1). The common regions of DNA-A and DNA-B components were identified 40 (183 nts in length) and the presence of iterons was confirmed. ToSRV is so far the most 41 prevalent tomato-infecting begomovirus in Brazil (Fernandes et al., 2008). To our 42 knowledge, this is the first report of natural infection of *P. angulata* by ToSRV, 43 expanding the viral host range to this widespread tropical and subtropical weed. 44 45 Considering that P. angulata may function as a relevant natural source of ToSRV inoculum to tomato crops across tropical and subtropical regions, control of the weed 46 47 should be a part of the integrated management of ToSRV in tomato crops.

48

49 **References**

- 50 **Barreto, S. S.** et al. 2013. Phytopathology 103: 436
- 51 **Boiteux, L. S.** et al. 1999. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 124: 32.
- 52 Fernandes, F. R. et al. 2008. Virus Genes 36: 251.
- 53 Naito, F. et al. 2019. Arch. Virol. 164: 1907.
- 54 **Rojas, M. R.** et al. 1993. Plant Dis. 77: 340.